



2004 Actuaries Club of the Southwest  
Spring Meeting

# UL Products with Lifetime Guarantees

Michael J. LeBoeuf, Vice President and Consulting Actuary  
Aon Consulting

# Overview

- Post-AXXX Secondary Guarantee Product Development
  - Review of XXX and AXXX
  - Pricing Strategies
  - Reinsurance Availability
  - Other Financial Remedies
  - Competitive Environment

# XXX Impact

- Under XXX it was expected that secondary guarantee designs would have to support traditional CRVM reserve levels similar to whole life contracts.
  - Additionally the new method for determining deficiency reserves resulted in massive surplus strain for some companies.
    - Depending on the level of X-factors.

# XXX Impact

- Of course, the impact of XXX was not the same for all secondary guarantee designs
- For purposes of generating reserves under XXX the Shadow Accounts and similar designs are viewed as a series of 1-year minimum premium guarantees.
  - The series is structured to be a single segment as defined under XXX (Section 4B).

# XXX Impact

- With a single segment deficiency reserves are usually not a problem due to the application of X-factors.
- The resulting XXX reserve level for YRT designs is at the  $\frac{1}{2} c_x$  level using the unitary method.

## AXXX Impact

- Actuarial Guideline 38 addressed two issues with Universal Life contracts.
  - How to adjust XXX reserves for policies with a “catch-up” provision.
  - How to adjust XXX reserves when the secondary guarantee is being pre-funded.

# AXXX Impact

## Steps for adjusting for pre-funding

1. Establish minimum gross premiums at issue that satisfy the secondary guarantee requirement.
2. Determine basic and deficiency reserves by applying 7B and 7C of the XXX Model Regulation.

## AXXX Impact

3. Take the value of the shadow account
4. Determine the single premium payment necessary at the valuation date to fully fund the secondary guarantee.
  - Assume no previous pre-funding.
  - Divide the result in Step 3 by the result in Step 4 = Pre-funded Ratio.



## AXXX Impact

5. Compute a net single premium on the valuation date for the coverage provided by the secondary guarantee for the remainder of the secondary guarantee period.
  - Use any value table and select factors authorized in Section 5A of the XXX Model Regulation.

## AXXX Impact

6. Determine the “net amount of additional premiums” by multiplying by multiplying ratio developed in Step 4 by the difference in the NSP from Step 5 and the XXX basic & deficiency reserves calculated in Step 2.

$$\text{Step 6} = \text{Step 4} \times (\text{Step 5} - \text{Step 2})$$

## AXXX Impact

7. Calculate a “reduced deficiency reserve” by multiplying the deficiency reserve by  $1 -$  the ratio from Step 4.
8. Total Reserve is the lesser of the NSP from Step 5 and the amount of Step 6 plus the total basic and deficiency reserves
  - This amount should be reduced by policy surrender charges.

## AXXX Impact

9. Determination of increased basic reserve
  - The increased basic reserve is equal to the total reserve from Step 8 less the reduced deficiency reserve from Step 7.

# Post-AXXX Secondary Guarantee Products

- Actuarial Guideline 38 was designed to impose additional reserves on shadow account and similar product designs.

# Post-AXXX Secondary Guarantee Products

- There have been certain perceptions about how the new guideline would impact shadow account designs
  - All secondary guarantee designs would hold the same reserve levels for the same premium pattern.
    - I.e. lifetime level premium situations would have whole life reserves as the minimum reserve level.

# Post-AXXX Secondary Guarantee Products

- Consistent reserve levels among competitors has not been the result of Actuarial Guideline 38

# Post-AXXX Secondary Guarantee Products

- Based on the formulaic approach outlined in the guideline, higher reserve levels should be calculated as the company's liability emerges
  - The shadow fund represents the company's liability
- Since shadow account designs differ by company one should expect to see different reserve results by company



# Pricing Strategies

- Companies have re-tooled base UL product designs to be more effective competitively and financially for the target market
  - Low CAWL funding levels.
  - Reduced interest rate spreads.
  - Competitive mortality (reinsurance).
  - Maximum SNFL surrender charges.

# Pricing Strategies

- Companies have also focused on shadow account designs limiting the AXXX pre-funding ratio
  - Two common methods used
    - Design higher early duration charge structures
    - Premium loads on excess premiums
  - Other methods have been employed by companies to get at the same result.

# Pricing Strategies

- The premium load has come under scrutiny
  - For many companies this premium load has been important to allow appropriate pricing on single premium (1035 Exchange) business.
  - Some companies have criticized the premium load as solely being a means to depress the pre-funding ratio by increasing the value of the denominator.

# Pricing Strategies

- 2001 CSO product designs
  - The new table will allow a reduction in the level of reserves generated by XXX & AXXX.
  - This results is somewhat offset by the reduction in surrender charges resulting from the new table.

# Reinsurance Availability

- The lack of reinsurance company choice has always been a problem
  - Most companies prefer to spread risk among several reinsurance companies.
- The lack of choice has led to a great deal of business being funneled to one reinsurer.
  - Can one reinsurer continue to support this business going forward?

# Reinsurance Availability

- Other alternatives to reinsurance
  - Forming onshore or offshore captive reinsurance companies
    - Set up as traditional reinsurance structure for secondary guarantee UL.
  - Securitization
    - Has been done on a block of business to fund XXX reserves.
    - Is volume a barrier?

# Competitive Environment

- Premiums have continued to decline
  - Rate of premium decline has lessened
  - Reduced premium levels generally tied to more complex product designs
- More companies looking to get in than getting out